

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/613,441	07/03/2003	Thomas Wulff	1564/SYMBP156US	6591
23623 7:	590 03/28/2005		EXAMINER	
AMIN & TUI	ROCY, LLP H STREET, NATIONA	LE, THIEN MINH		
24TH FLOOR,	•	AL CITT CENTER	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CLEVELAND, OH 44114			2876	
			DATE MAILED: 03/28/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				K			
Office Action Summany		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/613,441	WULFF ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Thien M. Le	2876				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover she	eet with the correspondence addres	SS			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period in the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by status reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I.  1.136(a). In no event, however, reply within the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX (6 tute, cause the application to become	nay a reply be timely filed  of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  ) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu	ınication.			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29	December 2004		·			
2a)□	· · ·	nis action is non-final.					
3)□	, <del>-</del>						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>7-20</u> is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 21</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	wn from consideration.	t.	·			
Applicati	ion Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examir The drawing(s) filed on 10 October 2003 is/ar Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination.	re: a)⊠ accepted or b) e drawing(s) be held in ab ection is required if the dra	peyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). wing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	• •			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachmen	t(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		iew Summary (PTO-413)				
3) 🔲 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date	3) 5) 🔲 Notic	r No(s)/Mail Date e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) :	)			

## **DETAILED ACTION**

The response to the election/restriction requirements with traverse has been entered. Accordingly, applicant elects Group I, claims 1-6 and 21. Thus, claims 7-20 are withdrawn from considerations.

As a preliminary matter, applicant's election with traverse of Group I in the reply filed on 12/29/2004 is acknowledged. However, applicant did not provide any arguments supporting the grounds of traversal. Thus, the requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Art Unit: 2876

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-6 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Barkan et al. (herein after referred as Barkan – 5,198,651).

Regarding claim 1, Barkan discloses a bar code reader comprising a bar code reader 100 having a plasting housing (see the summary of the invention), and an unitary structure which can be either integrally fastened or molded as part as the housing.

Barkan also discloses the method of either integrally fastening or molding the motor having bearings and/or bearing supports as part of the housing [see summary of the invention].

As can be see, Barkan shows the conventionality of a mobile terminal comprising: a plastic housing, a bar code reader, a component insert-molded (insert and/or molded) as part as the housing.

Regarding claim 2, Barkan discloses the method and system comprising the steps of fastening and/or molding an electrical component to the plasting housing.

Specifically, Barkan discloses a motor unit 160, or an unitary structure which can be either integrally fastened or molded as part of the plastic housing.

Regarding claim 3, the motor bearing and bearing supports of Barkan are considered as the claimed mechanical component.

Regarding claim 4, the unitary structure, the motor bearings and bearing supports of Barkan are insert-molded (inserted and/or molded) within a housing. Also see the discussions of claim 1.

Regarding claim 5, see the discussions regarding claim 1. Further, Barkan discloses that the motor 160 is mounted on a "cage like" unitary support structure 230 which serves as the claimed "trough" (since the unitary support structure is integrally secured the plastic housing); and thus would embrace all limitations set forth in this claim.

Regarding claim 6, the motor as taught by Barkan is a scanning motor which thus is electrically connected to other electronic devices of the bar code reader via interfaces and contacts (not shown); and thus would embrace all limitations set forth in this claim.

Regarding claim 21, since Barkan only discloses a mobile/portable bar code reader, he does not disclose the use of any antenna. However, the claim recites the phrase "at least one electronics and antennas" which can be broadly interpreted as "at least one electronic" and thus would be embraced by the motor (which serves as both mechanical and electrical device) as taught by Barkan, and has been discussed above.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thien M. Le whose telephone number is (571) 272-2396. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 7:30am - 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee can be reached on (571) 272-2398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Le, Thien Minh Primary Examiner Art Unit 2876 March 10, 2005